

FILED 07 FEB 23 09:52 USDC-OR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JAMES HORROD,)	
)	Civ. No. 06-6260-CO
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
SOCIAL SECURITY)	ORDER
ADMINISTRATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Findings and Recommendation.


I have, therefore, given this matter de novo review. I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Cooney.

CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation (#7) is adopted. The complaint (#2) is dismissed with leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and names the Secretary, Social Security Administration, as the defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23 day of February, 2007.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen M. Panner", is written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE